IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS LUFKIN DIVISION

BLACKBOARD, INC.,

Plaintiff,

S

Civil Action No. 9:06-CV-00155-RHC

v.

S

JUDGE RON CLARK

Defendant.

Defendant.

S

Defendant.

ORDER

Before the court is Defendant Desire2Learn's generous attempt to assist the court in organizing the blizzard of paper the parties have produced since October 4, 2007. Desire2Learn has thoughtfully listed all pending dispositive motions in this case, as well as their associated responses, replies, and sur-replies. What Desire2Learn failed to do was compute the total number of pages these motions comprise. Including attachments, the grand total for these twenty-four documents is well over 8000 pages.²

Of course, this total does not include ten other motions filed during this period, including four Motions to Strike and two Motions to Compel.³ In fact, every time the court attempts to delve into the substantive issues of the six dispositive motions filed by the parties between

¹The chart is attached to this Order.

²Based on an eight hour, five day work week, even if the court had devoted all of its time between October 4 and November 30 to the task, it would still have had to read more than 25 pages an hour in order to burrow through the mountain of motions generated by the parties.

³ See Docs. # 119, 121, 135, 137, 140, 141, 146, 168, 169, and 181. One of these motions requested expedited briefing.

October 4 and October 22⁴, it is almost immediately distracted by another discovery dispute⁵ or petty squabble over page limits⁶.

Therefore, in order to secure the just, speedy and inexpensive determination of this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 1, the court will deny all pending motions for summary judgment.

Counsel on both sides are certainly skillful enough to focus the jury's attention on the key issues at trial, where the main disputes between the parties can be fully aired. Disposing of these motions in this manner will also free the court to devote the necessary time and attention to the pre-trial motions which will almost certainly be forthcoming, as well as the still-pending Motions to Strike and Compel.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the following motions are DENIED:

- 1. Blackboard's Motion For Partial Summary Judgment on Desire2Learn's Third Affirmative Defense of Express or Implied License/Patent Exhaustion [Doc. # 118];
- 2. Blackboard's Motion For Partial Summary Judgment on Desire2Learn's Affirmative Defense and Counterclaim of Inequitable Conduct [Doc. # 124];

⁴This total excludes a seventh dispositive motion filed by Desire2Learn and struck per court order for exceeding the sixty page limit for dispositive motions. *See* Doc. # 148.

⁵See Doc. # 164 (granting Blackboard's Motion to Compel [**Doc. # 140**]); Doc. # 181 (yet another Motion to Compel filed by Blackboard on November 27).

⁶For an entertaining example, *see* Blackboard's recent Motion to Strike For Exceeding the Page Limits [**Doc.** # **169**]. After having successfully argued on a previous occasion that Desire2Learn's final Motion For Summary Judgment should be struck for exceeding the page limits, because a prior filing in which Desire2Learn included both a dispositive motion and a response to one of Blackboard's dispositive motions should be treated as a motion, Blackboard later attempted to convince the court that the same document should now be treated as a response for purposes of the page limit on responses to dispositive motions. The court declined to accept this creative argument. *See* Doc. # 172.

- 3. Desire2Learn's Cross-Motion For Summary Judgment of License and Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1) For Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction [**Doc.** # 126];
- 4. Desire2Learn's Motion For Summary Judgement on Unenforceability of U.S. Patent No. 6,988,138 [Doc. # 127];
- 5. Desire2Learn's Motion For Summary Judgment on Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 6,988,138 [Doc. # 129]; and
- 6. Blackboard's Motion For Partial Summary Judgment on its Claims of Direct and Indirect Infringement of Claim 36 of the '138 Patent [Doc. # 130].

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this 3 day of **December**, 2007.

Ron Clark, United States District Judge

Pm Clark

Inequitable Conduct/Unenforceability	Filing Date and Time	Docket Number
Dispositive Motions		
Blackboard Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Desire2Learn's Affirmative Defense and Counterclaim of Inequitable Conduct	October 18, 2007 at 6:53 PM CDT	Doc. No. 124
Desire2Learn's Response Opposing Blackboard's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Desire2Learn's Affirmative Defense and Counterclaim of Inequitable Conduct and Request for Oral Argument	November 2, 2007 at 5:29 PM CDT	Doc. No. 144
Blackboard's Reply Memorandum in Support of Its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Desire2Learn's Affirmative Defense and Counterclaim of Inequitable Conduct	November 13, 2007 at 7:53 PM CST	Doc. No. 160
Desire2Learn's Surreply Opposing Blackboard's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Desire2Learn's Affirmative Defense and Counterclaim of Inequitable Conduct and Request for Oral Argument,	November 21, 2007 at 12:29 PM CST	Doc. No. 178
Desire2Learn's Motion for Summary Judgment on Unenforceability of U.S. Patent No. 6,988,138	October 19, 2007 at 7:31 PM CDT	Doc. No. 127
Blackboard's Memorandum in Response to Desire2Learn's Motion for Summary Judgment of Unenforceability of U.S. Patent No. 6,988,138	November 5, 2007 at 5:43 PM CST	Doc. No. 147
Desire2Learn's Reply in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment on Unenforceability of U.S. Patent No. 6,988,138 and Request for Oral Argument	November 13, 2007 at 10:16 PM CST	Doc. No. 162
Blackboard's Surreply in Opposition to Desire2Learn's	November 19, 2007 at 4:42 PM CST	Doc. No. 175

Motion for Summary Judgment of Unenforceability of U.S. Patent No. 6,988,138		
Licensing/12(b)(1) Dispositive Motions	Filing Date and Time	Docket Number
Blackboard's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Desire2Learn's Third Affirmative Defense of Express or Implied License/Patent Exhaustion	October 4, 2007 at 4:28 PM CDT	Doc. No. 118
• Desire2Learn's: (1) Response to Blackboard's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Desire2Learn's Third Affirmative Defense of Express or Implied License/Patent Exhaustion; (2) Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment of License; and (3) Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1) for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction	October 19, 2007 at 2:09 PM CDT	Doc. No. 126
Blackboard's Reply Memorandum in Support of Its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Desire2Learn's Third Affirmative Defense of Express or Implied License/Patent Exhaustion,	October 31, 2007 at 3:28 PM CDT	Doc. No. 142
Desire2Learn's Surreply to Blackboard's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Desire2Learn's Third Affirmative Defense of Express or Implied License/Patent Exhaustion,	November 7, 2007 at 5:01 PM CST	Doc. No. 152
Desire2Learn's: (1) Response to Blackboard's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Desire2Learn's Third Affirmative Defense of Express or Implied License/Patent Exhaustion; (2) Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment of License; and (3) Motion	October 19, 2007 at 2:09 PM CDT	Doc. No. 126

to Dismiss Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.		
P. 12(b)(1) for Lack of Subject		
Matter Jurisdiction		
 Blackboard's Memorandum in 	November 5, 2007 at 4:52 PM CST	Doc. No. 145
Response to Desire2Learn's		
Cross-Motion for Summary		
Judgment of License and		
Motion to Dismiss for Lack of		
Subject Matter Jurisdiction		
Pursuant to Federal Rule of		
Civil Procedure 12(b)(1)		
• Desire2Learn's Reply in	November 13, 2007 at 5:24 PM	Doc. No. 159
Support of Its Motion to	CST	
Dismiss Pursuant to Fed. R.		
Civ. P. 12(b)(1) for Lack of		
Subject Matter Jurisdiction and		
Its Motion for Summary		
Judgment of License		
Blackboard's Sur-reply	November 19, 2007 at 4:40 PM	Doc. No. 174
Memorandum in Opposition to	CST	
Desire2Learn's Cross-Motion		
for Summary Judgment of		
License and Motion to Dismiss		
for Lack of Subject Matter		
Jurisdiction		
Invalidity Dispositive Motion	The second of the property of the second of	
Desire2Learn's Motion for Summary	October 22, 2007 at 4:54 PM CDT	Doc. No. 129
Judgment on Invalidity of U.S.		
Patent No. 6,988,138		
 Blackboard's Memorandum In 	November 6, 2007 at 5:34 PM CST	Doc. No. 149
Response to Desire2Learn's		
Motion for Summary Judgment		
on Invalidity of U.S. Patent		
No. 6,988,138		
 Desire2Learn's Reply in 	November 14, 2007 at 4:48 PM	Doc. No. 165
Support of Its Motion for	CST	
Summary Judgment on		
Invalidity of U.S. Patent No.		
6,988,138 and Request for Oral		
Argument		
Blackboard's Surreply in	November 15, 2007 at 2:45 PM	Doc. No. 167
Response to Desire2Learn's	CST	
Reply in Support of Its Motion		
for Summary Judgment on		
Invalidity of U.S. Patent No.		

6,988,138		
Infringement Dispositive Motion	Filing Date and Time	Docket Number
Blackboard's Motion for Partial	October 22, 2007 at 5:20 PM CDT	Doc. No. 130
Summary Judgment on Its Claim of		
Direct and Indirect Infringement of		
Claim 36 of the '138 Patent		
 Desire2Learn's Response to 	November 6, 2007 at 9:09 PM CST	Doc. No. 151
Blackboard's Motion for Partial		
Summary Judgment on its		
Claim of Direct and Indirect		
Infringement of Claim 36 of		
the '138 Patent and Request for		
Oral Argument		
 Blackboard's Reply 	November 19, 2007 at 8:50 PM	Doc. No. 176
Memorandum in Support of Its	CST	
Motion for Partial Summary		
Judgment on Its Claim of		
Direct and Indirect		
Infringement of Claim 36 of		
the '138 Patent		
 Desire2Learn's Surreply in 	November 26, 2007 at 5:41 PM	Doc. No. 180
Support of its Response to	CST	
Blackboard's Motion for Partial		
Summary Judgment on its		
Claim of Direct and Indirect		